arXiv:q-alg/9702024v2 1 Mar 1997

RIMS-1133

TOROIDAL ACTIONS ON LEVEL 1 MODULES OF $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

YOSHIHISA SAITO, KOUICHI TAKEMURA AND DENIS UGLOV

Abstract. Recently Varagnolo and Vasserot established that the q-deformed Fock spaces due to Hayashi, and Kashiwara, Miwa and Stern, admit actions of the quantum toroidal algebra $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ $(n \geq 3)$ with the level $(0,1)$. In the present article we propose a more detailed proof of this fact then the one given by Varagnolo and Vasserot. The proof is based on certain non-trivial properties of Cherednik's commuting difference operators. The quantum toroidal action on the Fock space depends on a certain parameter κ. We find that with a specific choice of this parameter the action on the Fock spaces gives rise to the toroidal action on irreducible level-1 highest weight modules of the affine quantum algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. Similarly, by a specific choice of the parameter, the level $(1,0)$ vertex representation of the quantum toroidal algebra gives rise to a $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-module structure on irreducible level-1 highest weight $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules.

1. Introduction

Recently a new algebraic object – the quantum toroidal algebra $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ has been introduced in [5], [13]. This quantum algebra is a q-deformation of the enveloping algebra of a central extension of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n[s^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$. Several results concerning representations of the quantum toroidal algebra were obtained [13], [10], [14]. One of of these results is the Schur-type duality between representations of the toroidal Hecke algebra and representations of $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ established by Varagnolo and Vasserot [13]. This duality is analogous to the duality between affine Hecke algebra and the quantum affine algebra $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ given by Chari and Pressley [2]. It is known that $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ contains two subalgebras $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ isomorphic to $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. A module of $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ is said to have level (k,l) if the $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-action on this module has level k and the $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-action has level l.

Representations of $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ obtained by the Varangolo-Vasserot duality have the level $(0,0)$. They are analogues of level-0 modules of affine quantum algebras.

The first example of a toroidal module with a non-trivial level was constructed in [10]. This vertex operator construction is an analogue of the Frenkel-Jing bosonization for level-1 $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules. It gives a toroidal module with level $(1,0)$. We summarize this bosonic construction in section 3.

Y.S. is supported by the JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientist.

A q-fermionic construction of toroidal modules with non-trivial level has recently been proposed in [14]. Origins of this construction lay in the theory of integrable models with long-range interaction. It has been known for some time, starting with the work [1], that level-1 highest weight modules of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ admit a level-0 action of the same quantum affine algebra $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ [7],[11]. In particular in [11] it was shown that the Fock space module of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ [6, 8] is simultaneously a level-0 $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module.

The main result of the work [14] is that for $n \geq 3$ the level-0 and level-1 actions of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on the Fock space are exactly actions of the subalgebras $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ in the toroidal algebra, so that the Fock space may be regarded as a level $(0,1)$ module of $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$.

From our viewpoint the proof of this fact given in the Theorem of section 12 in the paper [14] omits certain technical details – notably in the proof of the relations (6.9) - (6.14) of the present work. One of our main objectives in this paper is to supply these details by giving a complete proof, based on Lemmas 6 and 7, that the Fock space is a module of the toroidal quantum algebra (Theorem 13). Let us emphasize that the general idea of our proof is not new methodologically compared with the idea of the proof in [14]. We arrived at this idea before the appearance of the work [14]. However, at the time when the paper [14] became available to us we did not know a complete proof of Theorem 13, specifically a proof of the relations (6.12) - (6.14) in Lemma 7 was missing.

In this paper we will also show that the vertex representation of [10] is isomorphic to the Fock space as a level-1 $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module. This means that on the Fock space we have two actions of the toroidal algebra – one action of level $(1,0)$ and another action of level $(0,1)$ such that the action of the $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-subalgebra in the former coincides with the action of the $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-subalgebra in the latter. We are not aware of any good explanation of this phenomenon.

Apart from the matters discussed above we give a proof of irreducibility of the Fock space as a $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-module. We also demonstrate that irreducible highest weight level-1 modules of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ admit actions of toroidal algebra with levels $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ induced from the corresponding actions on the Fock space.

2. Definition of quantum toroidal algebras

2.1. Let $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ be the semisimple Lie algebra of type A_{n-1} and $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$. We denote their Cartan subalgebras by $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$. We denote by $\alpha_0, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ the simple roots and by $h_0, \cdots, h_{n-1}$ the simple coroots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$. Let $P = \oplus_{i=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$ be the weight lattice. Here δ is the null root. Let $Q = \oplus_{i=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ be the root lattice. Note that $\alpha_0 = -\Lambda_{n-1} + 2\Lambda_0 - \Lambda_1 + \delta$, $\alpha_i = -\Lambda_{i-1} + 2\Lambda_i - \Lambda_{i+1}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$. Here the indices are extended cyclically such as $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_{i+n}$. Let

$\overline{P} = \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}\overline{\Lambda}_i$ be the classical weight lattice and $\overline{Q} = \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ the classical root lattice. The inclusion of $\overline{P}$ into P is given by $\overline{\Lambda_i} = \Lambda_i - \Lambda_0$. We also set $\overline{\delta} = 0$.

We denote the pairing of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$ (*resp.* $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$) by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. The invariant bilinear form on P is given by $(\alpha_i|\alpha_j) = -\delta_{ij-1} + 2\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ij+1}$ and $(\delta|\delta) = 0$.

Let $[k] = (q^k - q^{-k})/(q - q^{-1})$ be the q-integers and denote $[n]! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]$, $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} = [m]!/([r]![m-r]!)$.

2.2. $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra generated by the symbols E_i, F_i, $K_i^{\pm}$ $(i = 0, \cdots, n-1)$ $q^{\pm d}$ which satisfy the following defining relations:

$$K_i^{\pm}K_j^{\pm} = K_j^{\pm}K_i^{\pm}, \quad K_i^{+}E_jK_i^{-} = q^{\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}E_j, \quad K_i^{+}F_jK_i^{-} = q^{-\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}F_j,$$
$$K_i^{\pm}q^{\pm d} = q^{\pm d}K_i^{\pm}, \quad q^dE_jq^{-d} = q^{\delta_{0j}}E_j, \quad q^dF_jq^{-d} = q^{-\delta_{0j}}F_j,$$
$$[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij}\frac{K_i^{+} - K_i^{-}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

for $i \neq j$,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} E_i^rE_jE_i^{m-r}, \quad \sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} F_i^rF_jF_i^{m-r}.$$

Here $m = \langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle$.

Let $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ be the subalgebra generated by E_i, F_i, $K_i^{\pm}$ $(i = 0, \cdots, n-1)$.

The coproduct Δ of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is given as follows:

$$\Delta(K_i^{\pm}) = K_i^{\pm} \otimes K_i^{\pm}, \quad \Delta(q^{\pm d}) = q^{\pm d} \otimes q^{\pm d},$$
$$\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes K_i^{+} + 1 \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes 1 + K_i^{-} \otimes F_i.$$

2.3. We will give the definition of the quantum toroidal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$. Fix an integer $n \geq 3$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\times}$.

Definition 1. *The quantum toroidal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ with generators :*

$$E_{i,k}, \ F_{i,k}, \ H_{i,l}, \ K_i^{\pm}, \ q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}c}, q^{\pm d_1}, q^{\pm d_2},$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ and $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$.

The relations are expressed in terms of the formal series

$$E_i(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} E_{i,k}z^{-k},$$
$$F_i(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} F_{i,k}z^{-k},$$
$$K_i^{\pm}(z) = K_i^{\pm}\exp(\pm(q - q^{-1})\sum_{k\geq 1} H_{i,\pm k}z^{\mp k}),$$

as follows:

$$q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}c} \text{ are central,} \tag{2.1}$$

$$K_i^+K_i^- = K_i^-K_i^+ = 1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$K_i^{\pm}(z)K_j^{\pm}(w) = K_j^{\pm}(w)K_i^{\pm}(z) \tag{2.3}$$

$$\theta_{-\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}(q^{-c}\kappa^{m_{ij}}\frac{z}{w})K_i^-(z)K_j^+(w) = \theta_{-\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}(q^{c}\kappa^{m_{ij}}\frac{z}{w})K_j^+(w)K_i^-(z) \tag{2.4}$$

$$q^{d_1}K_i^{\pm}(z)q^{-d_1} = K_i^{\pm}(q^{-1}z), \quad q^{d_1}E_i(z)q^{-d_1} = E_i(q^{-1}z), \quad q^{d_1}F_i(z)q^{-d_1} = F_i(q^{-1}z), \tag{2.5}$$

$$[q^{d_2}, K_i^{\pm}(z)] = 0, \quad q^{d_2}E_i(z)q^{-d_2} = q^{\delta_{i0}}E_i(z), \quad q^{d_2}F_i(z)q^{-d_2} = q^{-\delta_{i0}}F_i(z),$$

$$K_i^{\pm}(z)E_j(w) = \theta_{\mp\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}(q^{-\frac{1}{2}c}\kappa^{\mp m_{ij}}w^{\pm}z^{\mp})E_j(w)K_i^+(z) \tag{2.6}$$

$$K_i^{\pm}(z)F_j(w) = \theta_{\pm\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}(q^{\frac{1}{2}c}\kappa^{\mp m_{ij}}w^{\pm}z^{\mp})F_j(w)K_i^+(z)$$

$$[E_i(z), F_j(w)] = \delta_{i,j}\frac{1}{q-q^{-1}}\{\delta(q^c\frac{w}{z})K_i^+(q^{\frac{1}{2}c}w) - \delta(q^c\frac{z}{w})K_i^-(q^{\frac{1}{2}c}z)\} \tag{2.7}$$

$$(\kappa^{m_{ij}}z - q^{\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}w)E_i(z)E_j(w) = (q^{\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}\kappa^{m_{ij}}z - w)E_j(w)E_i(z) \tag{2.8}$$

$$(\kappa^{m_{ij}}z - q^{-\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}w)F_i(z)F_j(w) = (q^{-\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}\kappa^{m_{ij}}z - w)F_j(w)F_i(z)$$

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_m}\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r\begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} E_i(z_{\sigma(1)})\cdots E_i(z_{\sigma(r)})E_j(w)E_i(z_{\sigma(r+1)})\cdots E_i(z_{\sigma(m)}) = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_m}\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r\begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} F_i(z_{\sigma(1)})\cdots F_i(z_{\sigma(r)})F_j(w)F_i(z_{\sigma(r+1)})\cdots F_i(z_{\sigma(m)}) = 0$$

where $i \neq j$ *and* $m = 1 - \langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle$.

In these formulas we denote $\theta_m(z) = \frac{zq^m-1}{z-q^m}$ *for* $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, *the* $\theta_m(z)$ *is to be regarded as the expansion of the right-hand side above in non-negative powers of the argument* *z;* $\delta(z)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} z^k$, m_{ij} *are the entries of the following* $n\times n$*-matrix*

$$M=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1\\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ generated by $E_{i,k}, F_{i,k}, K_i^{\pm}, H_{i,l}$, $q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}c}$.

2.4. Let $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ generated by $E_{i,k}, F_{i,k}, K_i^{\pm}, H_{i,l}$, $q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}c}$ $(1\le i\le n-1, k\in\mathbb{Z}, l\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\})$ and $U_q^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ the subalgebra generated by $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $q^{\pm d_1}$. Let $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ generated by $E_{i,0}, F_{i,0}, K_i^{\pm}$ $(0\le i\le n-1)$ and $U_q^{(2)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ the subalgebra generated by $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $q^{\pm d_2}$.

The following lemma is already known [5], [13].

Lemma 1. *Both* $U_q^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ *and* $U_q^{(2)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ *are isomorphic to* $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$*.*

Following [14] we will call the $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ the vertical subalgebra of $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ and denote its Chevalley generators by $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{k}_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i\in\{0,\dots,n-1\})$. The $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ will accordingly be called the horizontal subalgebra and for its Chevalley generators $E_{i,0}, F_{i,0}, K_i^{\pm}$ we will use the notations $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm}$ $(i\in\{0,\dots,n-1\})$ respectively.

Lemma 2. $U_q^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ *and* $U_q^{(2)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ *generate* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ which is generated by $U_q^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $U_q^{(2)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. It is enough to show that $E_{0,k}, F_{0,k}, H_{0,l}\in\mathcal{U}$. By the defining relations we have

$$[H_{1,l}, E_{0,k}] = -\frac{1}{l}[\ l\ ]q^{-\frac{1}{2}|l|c}\kappa^{-l}E_{0,k+l}.$$

Since $E_{0,0}$ and $H_{1,l}$ $(l\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\})$ are the elements of $\mathcal{U}$ we have $E_{0,k}\in\mathcal{U}$ for any k. Similarly we have $F_{0,k}, H_{0,l}\in\mathcal{U}$. ■

Definition 2. *Let* V *be a* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$*-module. We say that* V *has level* (l_1,l_2) *if* V *has level* l_j *as* $U_q^{(j)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$*-module* $(j=1,2)$*.*

On a level (l_1,l_2) module the generator $q^{\frac{1}{2}c}$ acts as the multiplication by $q^{\frac{1}{2}l_2}$ and the element $K_0K_1\dots K_{n-1}$ acts as the multiplication by q^{l_1}.

3. The vertex representation

3.1. In this section we assume $c = 1$. Let us recall the results on the vertex representation of the quantum toroidal algebra [10].

Let S_n be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$, generated by the $H_{i,l}$ $(0 \le i \le n-1,\ l \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\})$. By definition the commutation relations of $\{H_{i,l}\}$ are following:

$$[H_{i,k}, H_{j,l}] = \delta_{k+l,0}\frac{1}{k}[k\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle]\frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}}\kappa^{-km_{ij}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_n$ be the Fock space of S_n. That is, $\mathcal{F}_n$ is generated by the vacuum vector v_0 and the defining relations are $H_{i,l}v_0 = 0$ for $l > 0$.

3.2. We introduce a twisted group algebra $\mathbb{Q}(q)\{\overline{P}\}$ defined as the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra generated by symbols $e^{\pm\alpha_2}, e^{\pm\alpha_3}, \cdots, e^{\pm\alpha_{n-1}}, e^{\pm\overline{\Lambda}_{n-1}}$ which satisfy the following relations:

$$e^{\alpha_i}e^{\alpha_j} = (-1)^{\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}e^{\alpha_j}e^{\alpha_i}, \qquad e^{\alpha_i}e^{\overline{\Lambda}_{n-1}} = (-1)^{\delta_{i,n-1}}e^{\overline{\Lambda}_{n-1}}e^{\alpha_i}.$$

For $\alpha = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} m_i\alpha_i + m_n\overline{\Lambda}_{n-1}$ we denote $e^{\alpha} = (e^{\alpha_2})^{m_2}(e^{\alpha_3})^{m_3}\cdots(e^{\alpha_{n-1}})^{m_{n-1}}(e^{\overline{\Lambda}_{n-1}})^{m_n}$.

We denote by $\mathbb{Q}(q)\{\overline{Q}\}$ the subalgebra of $\mathbb{Q}(q)\{\overline{P}\}$ generated by e^{α_i} $(1 \le i \le n-1)$. Set

$$W(M) = \mathcal{F}_n \otimes \mathbb{Q}(q)\{\overline{Q}\}e^{\overline{\Lambda}_M} \qquad \text{for } 0 \le M \le n-1,$$

here we denote $\overline{\Lambda}_0 = 0$.

For $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$ we denote

$$\overline{\alpha}_i = \begin{cases} -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\alpha_j, & i = 0, \\ \alpha_i, & i \neq 0, \end{cases} \qquad \overline{h}_i = \begin{cases} -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}h_j, & i = 0, \\ h_i, & i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

We define the operators on $W(M)$, $H_{i,l}$, e^{α}, $(\alpha \in \overline{Q})$, $\partial_{\overline{\alpha_i}}$, $z^{H_{i,0}}$ $(i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1)$ and d as follows:
For $v \otimes e^{\beta} = H_{i_1,-k_1}\cdots H_{i_N,-k_N}v_0 \otimes e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}m_j\alpha_j + \overline{\Lambda}_M} \in W(M)$,

$$H_{i,l}(v \otimes e^{\beta}) = (H_{i,l}v) \otimes e^{\beta},$$

$$e^{\alpha}(v \otimes e^{\beta}) = v \otimes e^{\alpha}e^{\beta},$$

$$\partial_{\overline{\alpha_i}}(v \otimes e^{\beta}) = \langle \overline{h_i}, \beta\rangle v \otimes e^{\beta},$$

$$z^{H_{i,0}}(v \otimes e^{\beta}) = z^{\langle\overline{h_i},\beta\rangle}\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\langle\overline{h_i},m_k\alpha_k\rangle m_{ik}}(v \otimes e^{\beta}),$$

$$d(v \otimes e^{\beta}) = (-\sum_{s=1}^{N}k_s - \frac{(\beta|\beta)}{2} + \frac{(\overline{\Lambda}_M|\overline{\Lambda}_M)}{2})v \otimes e^{\beta}.$$

Let us denote by $U_q^{*\prime}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ generated by $E_{i,k}, F_{i,k}, K_i^{\pm}, H_{i,l}$, $q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}c}$ and $q^{\pm d_1}$.

Proposition 1. [10] *Let $c = 1$. Then for each M and n, the following action gives a $U_q^{*\prime}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-module structure on $W(M)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}c} &\mapsto q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ q^{d_1} &\mapsto q^d, \\ E_i(z) &\mapsto \exp(\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{H_{i,-k}}{[k]}(q^{-1/2}z)^k)\exp(\sum_{k\geq 1}-\frac{H_{i,k}}{[k]}(q^{1/2}z)^{-k})e^{\overline{\alpha_i}}z^{\partial_{\overline{\alpha_i}}+1}, \\ F_i(z) &\mapsto \exp(\sum_{k\geq 1}-\frac{H_{i,-k}}{[k]}(q^{1/2}z)^k)\exp(\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{H_{i,k}}{[k]}(q^{-1/2}z)^{-k})e^{-\overline{\alpha_i}}z^{-\partial_{\overline{\alpha_i}}+1}, \\ K_i^+(z) &\mapsto \exp((q-q^{-1})\sum_{k\geq 1}H_{i,k}z^{-k})q^{\partial_{\overline{\alpha_i}}}, \\ K_i^-(z) &\mapsto \exp(-(q-q^{-1})\sum_{k\geq 1}H_{i,-k}z^{k})q^{-\partial_{\overline{\alpha_i}}} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Moreover $W(M)$ has level $(1,0)$ as a $U_q^{*\prime}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-module.

Proposition 2. [10] *As a $U_q^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module*

$$ch_{W(M)} = \frac{ch_{L(\Lambda_M)}}{\varphi(e^{-\delta})},$$

where $L(\Lambda_M)$ is the irreducible highest weight $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n})$-module with the highest weight Λ_M and $\varphi(x) = \prod_{k>0}(1-x^k)$.

Definition 3. *We say that $\kappa \in \mathbb{Q}(q)^\times$ is generic if for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ the $n \times n$-matrix $G(n,k,\kappa) = ([k\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle]\kappa^{-km_{ij}})$ is invertible.*

Theorem 3. [10] *If κ is generic then $W(M)$ is irreducible $U_q^{*\prime}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-module.*

3.3. **Non-generic case.**

We shall start the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *If κ is not generic then $\kappa \in \{\pm q, \pm q^{-1}\}$.*

Proof. We assume $\det G(n,k,\kappa) = 0$ for fixed k. By an easy calculation we have $\det G(n,k,\kappa) = q^{-nk}[k](q^{nk}-\kappa^{nk})(q^{nk}-\kappa^{-nk})$. Therefore if nk is even then $\kappa = \pm q^{\pm 1}$ and if nk is odd then $\kappa = q^{\pm 1}$. Thus we have the statement. ■

Until the end of this subsection we assume $\kappa = q^{\pm 1}$. Let $\widehat{B}_k = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} H_{i,k}$ and $\widehat{S}$ be the algebra generated by $\widehat{B}_k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$). It is easy to see the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *If $\kappa = q^{\pm 1}$ then $[H_{i,l}, \widehat{B}_k] = 0$ for any i, k and l. Moreover $\widehat{S}$ is an abelian subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$.*

By the above lemma and the definition of the action on $W(M)$ we have the following lemma immediately.

Lemma 5. *$[\widehat{S}, U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})] = 0$ on $W(M)$.*

Let $\widehat{S}^{<0} = \oplus_{k<0}\mathbb{Q}(q)\{X \in \widehat{S} | q^{d_1}Xq^{-d_1} = q^kX\}$. Set $W(M)^{(1)} = \widehat{S}^{<0}W(M)$.

Proposition 4. *If $\kappa = q^{\pm 1}$ then*
(1) $W(M)^{(1)}$ is a proper $U_q^{*\prime}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-submodule of $W(M)$ and
(2) $W(M)/W(M)^{(1)}$ is an irreducible $U_q^{*\prime}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-module.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 5 $W(M)^{(1)}$ has a $U_q^{*\prime}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-module structure. Since $v_0 \otimes e^{\overline{\Lambda}_M}$ does not belong to $W(M)^{(1)}$, it is a proper submodule.
(2) As $U_q^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module the character of $W(M)$ is already known (See Proposition 2). By the definition we have

$$\mathrm{ch}_{W(M)/W(M)^{(1)}} = \mathrm{ch}_{L(\Lambda_M)}$$

as $U_q^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module. Thus $W(M)/W(M)^{(1)} \cong L(\Lambda_M)$ as $U_q^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module. Therefore $W(M)/W(M)^{(1)}$ is irreducible. ∎

Corollary 1. (1) *If $\kappa = q^{\pm 1}$ then $L(\Lambda_M)$ has $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-module structure.*
(2) *Therefore we have another $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n})$-module structure on $L(\Lambda_M)$ with level* 0.

4. ACTION OF $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ ON THE FINITE WEDGE PRODUCT

4.1. **Toroidal Hecke algebra.** Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. The toroidal Hecke algebra of type $\mathfrak{gl}_N$, H_{tor} is a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$ with generators $T_i^{\pm 1}$, $X_j^{\pm 1}$, $Y_j^{\pm 1}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and relations

$$\begin{gathered} T_iT_i^{-1} = T_i^{-1}T_i = 1, \quad (T_i + 1)(T_i - q^2) = 0, \\ T_iT_{i+1}T_i = T_{i+1}T_iT_{i+1}, \\ T_iT_j = T_jT_i \quad \text{if } |j-i| > 1, \\ X_0Y_1 = xY_1X_0, \quad X_iX_j = X_jX_i, \quad Y_iY_j = Y_jY_i, \\ X_jT_i = T_iX_j, \quad Y_jT_i = T_iY_j \quad \text{if } j \neq i, i+1 > 1, \\ T_iX_iT_i = q^2X_{i+1}, \quad T_i^{-1}Y_iT_i^{-1} = q^{-2}Y_{i+1}, \\ X_2Y_1^{-1}X_2^{-1}Y_1 = q^{-2}yT_1^2, \end{gathered}$$

where $X_0 = X_1X_2 \cdots X_N$. In H_{tor} the subalgebras $\widehat{H}_N(q)^{(1)}$ generated by $T_i^{\pm 1}$, $Y_j^{\pm 1}$, and $\widehat{H}_N(q)^{(2)}$ generated by $T_i^{\pm 1}$, $X_j^{\pm 1}$ are both isomorphic to the affine Hecke algebra. And the subalgebra $H_N(q)$ generated by $T_i^{\pm 1}$ is isomorphic to the Hecke algebra of type $\mathfrak{gl}_N$.

Let $p \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and consider the following operators in $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}])$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{multiplication operator } z_i, && i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \\ &g_{i,j} = \tfrac{q^{-1}z_i - qz_j}{z_i - z_j}(K_{i,j} - 1) + q, && 1 \le i \ne j \le N, \end{aligned}$$

the family of N commutative Cherednik's operators:

$$Y_i^{(N)} = g_{i,i+1}^{-1} K_{i,i+1} \cdots g_{i,N}^{-1} K_{i,N} p^{D_i} K_{1,i} g_{1,i} \cdots K_{i-1,i} g_{i-1,i}, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where $K_{i,j}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$ by permuting variables z_i, z_j and p^{D_i} is the difference operator

$$p^{D_i} f(z_1, \dots, z_i, \dots, z_N) = f(z_1, \dots, pz_i, \dots, z_N), \quad f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}].$$

The following result is due to I. Cherednik [3, 4]:

Proposition 5. *The map*

$$T_i \mapsto \overset{c}{T}_i = -q g_{i,i+1}^{-1}, \quad X_i \mapsto z_i, \quad Y_i \mapsto q^{1-N} Y_i^{(N)}, \quad x \mapsto p, \quad y \mapsto 1 \tag{4.1}$$

defines a right action of H_{tor} *on* $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$.

The commuting difference operators $Y_1^{(N)}, \dots, Y_N^{(N)}$ are called Cherednik's operators.

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, with basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Then $\otimes^N V$ admits a left $H_N(q)$-action given by

$$T_i \mapsto \overset{s}{T}_i = 1^{\otimes^{i-1}} \otimes \overset{s}{T} \otimes 1^{\otimes^{N-i-1}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \overset{s}{T} \in \mathrm{End}(\otimes^2 V) \tag{4.2}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \overset{s}{T}(v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2}) = \begin{cases} q^2 v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2} & \text{if } \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2, \\ q v_{\epsilon_2} \otimes v_{\epsilon_1} & \text{if } \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2, \\ q v_{\epsilon_2} \otimes v_{\epsilon_1} + (q^2 - 1) v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2} & \text{if } \epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

4.2. **q-wedge product.** Let $V(z) = \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}] \otimes V$, with basis $\{z^m \otimes v_\epsilon\}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\epsilon \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Often it will be convenient to set $k = \epsilon - nm$ and $u_k = z^m \otimes v_\epsilon$. Then $\{u_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a basis of $V(z)$. In what follows we will write $z^m v_\epsilon$ as a short-hand for $z^m \otimes v_\epsilon$, and use both notations: u_k and $z^m v_\epsilon$ switching between them according to convenience.

The two actions of the Hecke algebra are naturally extended on the tensor product $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^N V)$ so that $\overset{c}{T}_i$ acts trivially on $\otimes^N V$ and $\overset{s}{T}_i$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$. The vector space $\otimes^N V(z)$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^N V)$ and the q-wedge product [8] is defined as the following quotient space:

$$\wedge^N V(z) = \otimes^N V(z) / \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \mathrm{Ker}\left(\overset{c}{T}_i + q^2 (\overset{s}{T}_i)^{-1}\right). \tag{4.4}$$

Since for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$ we have

$$\operatorname{Ker}\left(\overset{c}{T}_i + q^2(\overset{s}{T}_i)^{-1}\right) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\overset{c}{T}_i - \overset{s}{T}_i\right) \tag{4.5}$$

the definition (4.4) is equivalent to

$$\wedge^N V(z) = \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_N^{\pm 1}] \otimes_{H_N(q)} (\otimes^N V). \tag{4.6}$$

Let $\Lambda : \otimes^N V(z) \to \wedge^N V(z)$ be the quotient map specified by (4.4). The image of a pure tensor $u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k_N}$ under this map is called a wedge and is denoted by

$$u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_N} := \Lambda(u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k_N}). \tag{4.7}$$

A wedge is normally ordered if $k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_N$. In [8] it is proven that normally ordered wedges form a basis in $\wedge^N V(z)$, and that any wedge is expressed as a linear combination of normally ordered wedges by using the normal ordering rules:

$$\begin{aligned} u_l \wedge u_m &= -u_m \wedge u_l, \quad \text{for } l = m \bmod n, && (4.8)\\ u_l \wedge u_m &= -q u_m \wedge u_l + (q^2-1)(u_{m-i} \wedge u_{l+i} - q u_{m-n} \wedge u_{l+n} + \\ &\qquad +q^2 u_{m-n-i} \wedge u_{l+n+i} + \ldots), && (4.9)\\ &\quad \text{for } l < m, m-l = i \bmod n, 0 < i < n. \end{aligned}$$

The sum above continues as long as the wedges in the right-hand side are normally ordered.

4.3. **Action of the quantum toroidal algebra on the wedge product.** In the paper [13] the following result is proven

Theorem 6 (Varagnolo and Vasserot)**.** *Suppose that* $x = \kappa^{-n} q^n$ *and* $y = 1$*. Then for any right* H_{tor}*-module* R *the vector space* $R \otimes_{H_N(q)} (\otimes^N V)$ *is a left* $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$*-module with central charge* $(0,0)$*.*

Moreover the action of the vertical subalgebra $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{k}_i^{\pm 1}$ and the action of the horizontal subalgebra $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1)$ are given on the space $R \otimes_{H_N(q)} (\otimes^N V)$ as follows: Let $m \in R$ and

$v \in \otimes^N V$, then

$$E_i(m \otimes v) = \mathbf{e}_i(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m \otimes E_j^{i,i+1} K_{j+1}^i \dots K_N^i v, \tag{4.10}$$

$$F_i(m \otimes v) = \mathbf{f}_i(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m \otimes (K_1^i)^{-1} \dots (K_{j-1}^i)^{-1} E_j^{i+1,i} v, \tag{4.11}$$

$$K_i(m \otimes v) = \mathbf{k}_i(m \otimes v) = m \otimes K_1^i K_2^i \dots K_N^i v, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1) \tag{4.12}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_0(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m Y_j^{-1} \otimes E_j^{n,1} K_{j+1}^0 \dots K_N^0 v, \tag{4.13}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_0(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m Y_j \otimes (K_1^0)^{-1} \dots (K_{j-1}^0)^{-1} E_j^{1,n} v, \tag{4.14}$$

$$E_0(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m X_j \otimes E_j^{n,1} K_{j+1}^0 \dots K_N^0 v, \tag{4.15}$$

$$F_0(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m X_j^{-1} \otimes (K_1^0)^{-1} \dots (K_{j-1}^0)^{-1} E_j^{1,n} v, \tag{4.16}$$

$$K_0 = \mathbf{k}_0 = (K_1 K_2 \cdots K_{n-1})^{-1}. \tag{4.17}$$

Here $E_j^{i,k} = 1^{\otimes^{j-1}} \otimes E^{i,k} \otimes 1^{\otimes^{N-j}}$, where $E^{i,k} \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ is the matrix unit in the basis $v_1, \dots, v_n$, and $K_j^i = q^{E_j^{i,i} - E_j^{i+1,i+1}}$, $K_j^0 = (K_j^1 K_j^2 \cdots K_j^{n-1})^{-1}$.

In view of the Theorem 6 and the Proposition 5 the wedge product $\wedge^N V(z)$ is a left $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-module with $\kappa = qp^{-\frac{1}{n}}$ such that the action of the generators of the vertical and the horizontal subalgebras is given by the formulas (4.10 - 4.17) where for $m \in \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$ and $v \in \otimes^N V$ we identify $m \otimes v$ with $\Lambda(m \otimes v)$, and use the maps in the Proposition 5 to define a right action of H_{tor} on $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$.

Sometimes we will denote the action of the vertical (horizontal) subalgebra on the wedge product $\wedge^N V(z)$ by $U_0^{(N)}(U_1^{(N)})$.

The actions of $E_{i,k},\ F_{i,k},\ H_{i,l}$ $(1 \le i \le n-1,\ k \in \mathbb{Z},\ l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ are determined by the actions of the Chevalley generators of $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

To prove the Theorem 6 Varagnolo and Vasserot defined the following operator ψ [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(m \otimes v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\epsilon_N}) \\ &= m X_1^{-\delta_{n,\epsilon_1}} X_2^{-\delta_{n,\epsilon_2}} \dots X_N^{-\delta_{n,\epsilon_N}} \otimes v_{\epsilon_1+1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\epsilon_N+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

In this notation we identify $v_{n+1} = v_1$. By taking into account the relations of the toroidal Hecke algebra, one can confirm that the action of ψ is well-defined on $R \otimes_{H_N(q)} (\otimes^N V)$.

The following proposition is proved in [13].

Proposition 7. *Let $E_i(z), F_i(z), K_i^{\pm}(z)$ be the series that specify actions of the generators of $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ on $R \otimes_{H_N(q)} (\otimes^N V)$. Then one has*

$$\psi^{-1}E_i(z)\psi = E_{i-1}(q^{-1}\kappa z), \quad \psi^{-2}E_1(z)\psi^2 = E_{n-1}(x^{-1}q^{n-2}\kappa^{2-n}z), \tag{4.19}$$

$$\psi^{-1}F_i(z)\psi = F_{i-1}(q^{-1}\kappa z), \quad \psi^{-2}F_1(z)\psi^2 = F_{n-1}(x^{-1}q^{n-2}\kappa^{2-n}z), \tag{4.20}$$

$$\psi^{-1}K_i^{\pm}(z)\psi = K_{i-1}^{\pm}(q^{-1}\kappa z), \quad \psi^{-2}K_1^{\pm}(z)\psi^2 = K_{n-1}^{\pm}(x^{-1}q^{n-2}\kappa^{2-n}z), \tag{4.21}$$

where $2 \leq i \leq n-1$.

On the other hand, one can prove the following proposition [13] easily.

Proposition 8. *Let W be a $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module such that actions of the generators are given by the series $E_i(z)$, $F_i(z)$, $H_i(z)$ $(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ (2.1 – 2.9). If there exists $\tilde{\psi} \in End(W)$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\tilde{\psi}^{-1}E_i(z)\tilde{\psi} = E_{i-1}(q^{-1}\kappa z), \quad \tilde{\psi}^{-2}E_1(z)\tilde{\psi}^2 = E_{n-1}(q^{-2}\kappa^2 z), \tag{4.22}$$

$$\tilde{\psi}^{-1}F_i(z)\tilde{\psi} = F_{i-1}(q^{-1}\kappa z), \quad \tilde{\psi}^{-2}F_1(z)\tilde{\psi}^2 = F_{n-1}(q^{-2}\kappa^2 z), \tag{4.23}$$

$$\tilde{\psi}^{-1}K_i^{\pm}(z)\tilde{\psi} = K_{i-1}^{\pm}(q^{-1}\kappa z), \quad \tilde{\psi}^{-2}K_1^{\pm}(z)\tilde{\psi}^2 = K_{n-1}^{\pm}(q^{-2}\kappa^2 z), \tag{4.24}$$

where $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, then the W is an $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-module such that the actions of $E_0(z)$, $F_0(z)$, $H_0(z)$ are given as follows:

$$E_0(z) := \tilde{\psi}^{-1}E_1(q\kappa^{-1}z)\tilde{\psi}, \tag{4.25}$$

$$F_0(z) := \tilde{\psi}^{-1}F_1(q\kappa^{-1}z)\tilde{\psi}, \tag{4.26}$$

$$K_0^{\pm}(z) := \tilde{\psi}^{-1}K_1^{\pm}(q\kappa^{-1}z)\tilde{\psi}. \tag{4.27}$$

4.4. **Semi-infinite wedge product.** In the subsection 4.2, we defined the space $V(z)$, its basis $\{u_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the space $\wedge^N V(z)$. In this section we define the semi-infinite wedge product $\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$ and for any integer M its subspace F_M [8]. Later we will define a representation of $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ on this space.

Let $\otimes^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$ be the space spanned by the vectors $u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \ldots$, $(k_{i+1} = k_i - 1,\ i >> 1)$. We define the space $\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$ by the quotient of $\otimes^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$:

$$\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z) := \otimes^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z) / \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ker}\left(\overset{c}{T}_i + q^2(\overset{s}{T}_i)^{-1}\right). \tag{4.28}$$

Let $\Lambda : \otimes^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z) \to \wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$ be the quotient map specified by (4.28). The image of a pure tensor $u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \ldots$ under this map is called a semi-infinite wedge and is denoted by

$$u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots := \Lambda(u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \ldots). \tag{4.29}$$

A semi-infinite wedge is normally ordered if $k_1 > k_2 > \cdots$ and $k_{i+1} = k_i - 1$ $(i >> 1)$. In [8] it is proven that normally ordered semi-infinite wedges form a basis in $\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$.

Let U_M be the subspace of $\otimes^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$ spanned by the vectors $u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \dots,$ $(k_i = M - i + 1,\ i >> 1)$. Let F_M be the quotient space of U_M defined by the map (4.29). Then F_M is a subspace of $\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$, and the vectors $u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \dots,$ $(k_1 > k_2 > \cdots,$ $k_i = M - i + 1,\ i >> 1)$ form the basis of F_M. We will call the space F_M the Fock space.

5. The two actions of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on the Fock space

5.1. **Level-zero action of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on the Fock space.** Here we define a level-0 action of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on F_M $(M \in \mathbb{Z})$ following the paper [11]. The definition we give below is equivalent to the one given in [11]. However, compared to [11], we change slightly the precise wording so as to make the idea of this definition more transparent.

Let $\mathbf{e} := (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_N)$ where $\epsilon_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For a sequence $\mathbf{e}$ we set

$$\mathbf{v_e} := v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\epsilon_N} \quad (\in \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n). \tag{5.1}$$

A sequence $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N)$ from $\mathbb{Z}^N$ is called n-strict if it contains no more than n equal elements of any given value. Let us define the sets $\mathcal{M}^n_N$ and $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m})$ by

$$\mathcal{M}^n_N := \{\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_N,\ \mathbf{m} \text{ is } n\text{-strict } \}, \tag{5.2}$$

and for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^n_N$

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m}) := \{\mathbf{e} = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_N) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^N \mid \epsilon_i > \epsilon_{i+1} \text{ for all } i \text{ s.t. } m_i = m_{i+1} \}. \tag{5.3}$$

In these notations the set

$$\{w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e}) := \Lambda(\mathbf{z^m} \otimes \mathbf{v_e}) \equiv z^{m_1} v_{\epsilon_1} \wedge z^{m_2} v_{\epsilon_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge z^{m_N} v_{\epsilon_N} \quad | \quad \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^n_N, \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m})\}. \tag{5.4}$$

is nothing but the base of the normally ordered wedges in $\wedge^N V(z)$. We will use the notation $w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e})$ *exclusively* for normally ordered wedges.

Similarly for a semi-infinite wedge $w = u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \dots = z^{m_1} v_{\epsilon_1} \wedge z^{m_2} v_{\epsilon_2} \wedge \dots$, such that $w \in F_M$, the semi-infinite sequences $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots)$ and $\mathbf{e} = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots)$ are defined by $k_i = \epsilon_i - n m_i$, $\epsilon_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular the $\mathbf{m}$- and $\mathbf{e}$-sequences of the vacuum vector in F_M will be denoted by $\mathbf{m}^0$ and $\mathbf{e}^0$:

$$|M\rangle = u_M \wedge u_{M-1} \wedge u_{M-2} \wedge \dots = z^{m^0_1} v_{\epsilon^0_1} \wedge z^{m^0_2} v_{\epsilon^0_2} \wedge z^{m^0_3} v_{\epsilon^0_3} \wedge \cdots . \tag{5.5}$$

The Fock space F_M is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded. For any semi-infinite wedge $w = u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \dots \equiv z^{m_1} v_{\epsilon_1} \wedge z^{m_2} v_{\epsilon_2} \wedge \dots \in F_M$ the degree $|w|$ is defined by

$$|w| = \sum_{i \geq 1} m_i^0 - m_i. \tag{5.6}$$

Later we will see that the F_M is a toroidal module. In this module the degree generator d_2 acts as the grading operator whose eiegenvalue on a normally ordred wedge w is equal to the degree (5.6). Clearly the degree is a finite non-negative integer for any wedge $u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \dots$ in F_M because of the asymptotic condition $k_i = M - i + 1$, $i >> 1$. Let us denote by $F_M^k \subset F_M$ the homogeneous component of degree k.

We will define a level-zero action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on the Fock space F_M in such a way that each homogeneous component F_M^k will be invariant with respect to this action. Throughout this section we fix an integer M and $s \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $M = s \bmod n$.

Let l be a non-negative integer and define $V_M^{s+nl} \subset \wedge^{s+nl} V(z)$ as follows:

$$V_M^{s+nl} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{s+nl}^n, \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m}) \\ m_{s+nl} \leq m_{s+nl}^0}} \mathbb{C} w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e}). \tag{5.7}$$

Notice that the condition $m_{s+nl} \leq m_{s+nl}^0$ in this definition is equivalent to the condition

$$m_i \leq m_i^0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, s + nl \tag{5.8}$$

since the sequence $\mathbf{m}$ is n-strict and non-decreasing.

The vector space V_M^{s+nl} has a grading similar to the grading of the Fock space F_M. In this case the degree $|w|$ of a wedge $w = u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{k_{s+nl}} \equiv z^{m_1} v_{\epsilon_1} \wedge z^{m_2} v_{\epsilon_2} \wedge \dots \wedge z^{m_{s+nl}} v_{\epsilon_{s+nl}} \in V_M^{s+nl}$ is defined by

$$|w| = \sum_{i=1}^{s+nl} m_i^0 - m_i. \tag{5.9}$$

Due to (5.8) the degree is a non-negative integer, and for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we denote by $V_M^{s+nl,k}$ the homogeneous component of degree k.

The following result is contained in the paper [11]:

Proposition 9. *For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the homogeneous component $V_M^{s+nl,k} \subset \wedge^{s+nl} V(z)$ is invariant under the $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-action $U_0^{(s+nl)}$ defined in section* 4.3.

Definition 4. *For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ define a map $\overline{\rho}_l^{M,k} : V_M^{s+nl,k} \to F_M$ by setting for $w \in V_M^{s+nl,k}$*

$$\overline{\rho}_l^{M,k}(w) = w \wedge |M - s - nl\rangle. \tag{5.10}$$

Clearly we have $|\overline{\rho}_l^{M,k}(w)| = |w|$ and hence $\overline{\rho}_l^{M,k} : V_M^{s+nl,k} \to F_M^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proposition 10. *When* $l \geq k$ *the map* $\overline{\rho}_l^{M,k}$ *is an isomorphism of vector spaces.*

Proof. (Surjectivity) If a normally ordered wedge $w = u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \dots$ belongs to F_M^k then $k_i = M - i + 1$ for all $i \geq s + nk + 1$. For if otherwise, the degree of w must be greater or equal to $k + 1$ (Cf. Proposition 5(ii) in [11]). Thus when $l \geq k$ we have $w = w_{(s+nl)} \wedge |M - s - nl\rangle$, and $w_{(s+nl)} \in V_M^{s+nl,k}$. Since a basis of F_M^k is formed by normally ordered wedges, the surjectivity follows.

(Injectivity) If $w, w' \in V_M^{s+nl,k}$ are two distinct normally ordered wedges, then $w \wedge |M - s - nl\rangle$, $w' \wedge |M - s - nl\rangle$ are distinct and, as implied by the definition (5.7), normally ordered wedges in F_M^k. Thus the injectivity follows. ■

This proposition has an immediate corollary:

Corollary 2. *For each triple of non-negative integers* k, l, m *such that* $k \leq l < m$ *the map* $\overline{\rho}_{l,m}^{M,k} : V_M^{s+nl,k} \rightarrow V_M^{s+nm,k}$*, defined for any* $w \in V_M^{s+nl,k}$ *by*

$$\overline{\rho}_{l,m}^{M,k}(w) = w \wedge u_{M-s-nl} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-1} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{M-s-nm+1}, \tag{5.11}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

Moreover we have a stronger statement:

Proposition 11. *For each triple of non-negative integers* k, l, m *such that* $k \leq l < m$ *the map* $\overline{\rho}_{l,m}^{M,k} : V_M^{s+nl,k} \rightarrow V_M^{s+nm,k}$ *is an isomorphism of the* $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$*-modules.*

Proof. In the proof of this and some of the subsequent propositions the following lemma concerning the Cherednik's operators and proved in the paper [11] plays an essential role:

Lemma 6. *Let* $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ *be a sequence such that:*

$$m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{N-k} < m_{N-k+1} = m_{N-k+2} = \dots = m_N \equiv t; \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \tag{5.12}$$

Then the following relations hold:

$$\text{for } 0 \leq l \leq k-1 \qquad \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} (Y_{N-l}^{(N)})^{\pm 1} = p^{\pm t} q^{\pm(2k-2l-N-1)} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} + [\dots] , \tag{5.13}$$

$$\text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N-k \qquad \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} (Y_i^{(N)})^{\pm 1} = q^{\pm k} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} (Y_i^{(N-k)})^{\pm 1} + [\dots] , \tag{5.14}$$

where $[\dots]$ *signifies a linear combination of monomials* $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}} \equiv z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2} \dots z_N^{n_N}$ *such that:*

$$n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \leq t, \qquad \textit{and} \quad \#\{n_i | n_i = t\} < k. \tag{5.15}$$

To prove the proposition it is sufficient to assume that m is equal to $l+1$. And since the isomorphism of the linear spaces has been already established in the Corollary

2 we must now show that for any generator a of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and any $w \in V_M^{s+nl,k}$ the following intertwining relation takes place:

$$a^{(s+nl+n)}\overline{\rho}^{M,k}_{l,l+1}(w) = \overline{\rho}^{M,k}_{l,l+1} a^{(s+nl)}(w) \qquad (k \leq l). \tag{5.16}$$

Here $a^{(N)}$ represents the action of the generator a in $U_0^{(N)}$.

Let $\mathbf{m}' \in \mathcal{M}^n_{s+nl}$ and $\mathbf{e}' \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m}')$ be such that $w(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{e}') \in V_M^{s+nl,k}$ and take in (5.16) $w = w(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{e}')$ and $a = \mathbf{f}_0$. And let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^n_{s+nl+n}$ and $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m})$ be such that

$$w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e}) = \wedge(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}}) = w(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{e}') \wedge u_{M-s-nl} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{M-s-nl-n+1}, \tag{5.17}$$

$$\text{so that} \quad w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e}) = \overline{\rho}^{M,k}_{l,l+1}(w(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{e}')). \tag{5.18}$$

Now act with $\mathbf{f}_0^{(s+nl+n)}$ on $w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e})$:

$$\mathbf{f}_0^{(s+nl+n)} w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e}) = \Lambda\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{s+nl+n} q^{1-(s+nl+n)} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} Y_j^{(s+nl+n)} \otimes (K_1^0)^{-1} \dots (K_{j-1}^0)^{-1} E_j^{1,n} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}}\Big). \tag{5.19}$$

Lemma 6 where we take $N = s + nl + n, k = n, t = m^0_{s+nl+n}$ and the normal ordering rules allow us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_0^{(s+nl+n)} w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e}) = (\mathbf{f}_0^{(s+nl)} w(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{e}')) \wedge u_{M-s-nl} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{M-s-nl-n+1} + \\ + p^{m^0_{s+nl+n}} q^{2(1-nl-n-s)} ((\mathbf{k}_0^{(s+nl)})^{-1} w(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{e}')) \wedge \\ \wedge u_{M-s-nl-n+1} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-1} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{M-s-nl-n+1} + \tilde{w}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

where the $\tilde{w}$ is a linear combination of normally ordered wedges $w(\mathbf{n}, \tau)$, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{M}^n_{s+nl+n}$, $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{n})$ such that for the sequence $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{s+nl+n})$ we have

$$n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{s+nl+n} \leq m^0_{s+nl+n}, \tag{5.21}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \#\{n_i | n_i = m^0_{s+nl+n}\} < n. \tag{5.22}$$

The inequality (5.22) implies, in particular, that $n_{s+nl+1} < m^0_{s+nl+1} = m^0_{s+nl+n}$. And from the last inequality it follows that degree of the wedge $w(\mathbf{n}, \tau)$ is greater or equal to $l + 1$ (Cf. Proposition 5(ii) in [11]). Since the degree of $\mathbf{f}_0^{(s+nl+n)} w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e})$ equals to the degree of $w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e})$ and equals to k, and since the degrees of the first two summands in (5.20) are equal to k as well, taking the condition $k \leq l$ into account we find that $\tilde{w}$ equals to zero.

Now consider the second summand in (5.20). Lemma 2.2 in [8] shows that

$$u_{M-s-nl-n+1} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-1} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{M-s-nl-n+1} = 0. \tag{5.23}$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathbf{f}_0^{(s+nl+n)} w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e}) = (\mathbf{f}_0^{(s+nl)} w(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{e}')) \wedge u_{M-s-nl} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{M-s-nl-n+1}, \tag{5.24}$$

which proves (5.16) for $a = \mathbf{f}_0$.

The proof of (5.16) for the rest of the $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-generators is carried out in the same way. ■

Now we make the final step and define on the vector space F_M^k a level-zero action of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ by using Propositions 10 and 11:

Definition 5. *The vector space F_M^k is a level-0 module of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ with the action U_0 defined by*

$$U_0 = \overline{\rho}_l^{M,k} U_0^{(s+nl)} \overline{\rho}_l^{M,k^{-1}} \qquad \textit{where } l \geq k. \tag{5.25}$$

Due to proposition 11 this definition does not depend on the choice of l as long as l is greater or equal to k. Since we have

$$F_M = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} F_M^k \tag{5.26}$$

the level-0 action U_0 extends to the entire Fock space F_M.

5.2. **Level-one action of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on the Fock space.** In this section we review the level-one action of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on the Fock space F_M [8].

First we define the action of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ (generated by $E_i,\ F_i,\ K_i,\ \ i = 0, \ldots, n-1$) on the vector $|M'\rangle$ as follows.

$$E_i|M'\rangle = 0, \tag{5.27}$$

$$F_i|M'\rangle = \begin{cases} u_{M'+1} \wedge u_{M'-1} \wedge u_{M'-2} \wedge \cdots & \text{if } i \equiv M' \bmod n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{5.28}$$

$$K_i|M'\rangle = \begin{cases} q|M'\rangle & \text{if } i \equiv M' \bmod n; \\ |M'\rangle & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{5.29}$$

For every element $v \in F_M$, there exist N such that

$$v = v^{(N)} \wedge |M-N\rangle, \qquad v^{(N)} \in \wedge^N V(z). \tag{5.30}$$

We define the action of $E_i,\ F_i,\ K_i,\ \ i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ on the vector v as follows.

$$E_i v := E_i v^{(N)} \wedge K_i|M-N\rangle + v^{(N)} \wedge E_i|M-N\rangle, \tag{5.31}$$

$$F_i v := F_i v^{(N)} \wedge |M-N\rangle + K_i^{-1} v^{(N)} \wedge F_i|M-N\rangle, \tag{5.32}$$

$$K_i v := K_i v^{(N)} \wedge K_i|M-N\rangle. \tag{5.33}$$

The actions of $E_i,\ F_i,\ K_i,\ \ i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ on $v^{(N)}$ are determined in the section 4.3. The definition of the actions on v does not depend on N and is well–defined, and we can easily check that the $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module defined in this section is level-1. We will use the notation U_1 for this $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-action on the Fock space.

6. ACTION OF $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ ON THE FOCK SPACE F_M

6.1. **Action of $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ on the Fock space F_M.** On the module defined in 4.3, the map (4.18) can be written in the wedge notation as follows

$$\psi_N(u_{k_1}\wedge u_{k_2}\wedge\cdots\wedge u_{k_N}) = u_{k_1+1}\wedge u_{k_2+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge u_{k_N+1} \tag{6.1}$$

For the toroidal action on $\wedge^N V(z) = \otimes^N V(z)/\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\mathrm{Ker}\left(\overset{c}{T}_i + q^2(\overset{s}{T}_i)^{-1}\right)$, we get the following relations

$$\psi_N^{-1}E_i(z)\psi_N = E_{i-1}(q^{-1}\kappa z), \quad \psi_N^{-2}E_1(z)\psi_N^2 = E_{n-1}(q^{-2}\kappa^2 z), \tag{6.2}$$

$$\psi_N^{-1}F_i(z)\psi_N = F_{i-1}(q^{-1}\kappa z), \quad \psi_N^{-2}F_1(z)\psi_N^2 = F_{n-1}(q^{-2}\kappa^2 z), \tag{6.3}$$

$$\psi_N^{-1}K_i^{\pm}(z)\psi_N = K_{i-1}^{\pm}(q^{-1}\kappa z), \quad \psi_N^{-2}K_1^{\pm}(z)\psi_N^2 = K_{n-1}^{\pm}(q^{-2}\kappa^2 z), \tag{6.4}$$

where $i\in\{2,\dots,n-1\}$ and $\kappa = p^{-1/n}q$.

On the space $\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}}V(z)$, we introduce the following map

$$\psi_\infty(u_{k_1}\wedge u_{k_2}\wedge\dots) := u_{k_1+1}\wedge u_{k_2+1}\wedge\dots\ . \tag{6.5}$$

Note that $\psi_\infty(F_M) = F_{M+1}$.

Proposition 12. *For each vector $v\in\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}}V(z)$ and $i\in\{2,\dots,n-1\}$ we have*

$$\psi_\infty^{-1}E_i(z)\psi_\infty v = E_{i-1}(q^{-1}\kappa z)v, \quad \psi_\infty^{-2}E_1(z)\psi_\infty^2 v = E_{n-1}(q^{-2}\kappa^2 z)v, \tag{6.6}$$

$$\psi_\infty^{-1}F_i(z)\psi_\infty v = F_{i-1}(q^{-1}\kappa z)v, \quad \psi_\infty^{-2}F_1(z)\psi_\infty^2 v = F_{n-1}(q^{-2}\kappa^2 z)v, \tag{6.7}$$

$$\psi_\infty^{-1}K_i^{\pm}(z)\psi_\infty v = K_{i-1}^{\pm}(q^{-1}\kappa z)v, \quad \psi_\infty^{-2}K_1^{\pm}(z)\psi_\infty^2 v = K_{n-1}^{\pm}(q^{-2}\kappa^2 z)v. \tag{6.8}$$

Here the action of $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is the action U_0 defined in section 5.1 and $\kappa = p^{-1/n}q$.

Proof. To prove this proposition we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let k,l,N be integers satisfying $l\geq k$ and $N = s+ln$. Here s is the integer such that $s\equiv M \bmod n$, $0\leq s\leq n-1$. Assume $v\in V_M^{s+ln,k}$ then we have*

$$E_i(z)(v\wedge u_{M-N}) = (E_i(z)\cdot v)\wedge u_{M-N}, \tag{6.9}$$

$$F_i(z)(v\wedge u_{M-N}) = (F_i(z)\cdot v)\wedge u_{M-N}, \tag{6.10}$$

$$K_i^{\pm}(z)(v\wedge u_{M-N}) = (K_i^{\pm}(z)\cdot v)\wedge u_{M-N}, \tag{6.11}$$

$$E_{n-1}(z)(v\wedge u_{M-N}\wedge u_{M-N-1}) = (E_{n-1}(z)\cdot v)\wedge u_{M-N}\wedge u_{M-N-1}, \tag{6.12}$$

$$F_{n-1}(z)(v\wedge u_{M-N}\wedge u_{M-N-1}) = (F_{n-1}(z)\cdot v)\wedge u_{M-N}\wedge u_{M-N-1}, \tag{6.13}$$

$$K_{n-1}^{\pm}(z)(v\wedge u_{M-N}\wedge u_{M-N-1}) = (K_{n-1}^{\pm}(z)\cdot v)\wedge u_{M-N}\wedge u_{M-N-1}, \tag{6.14}$$

where $1\leq i\leq n-2$.

Proof. By the relations (2.6), (2.7), we can show that for each i $(1\leq i\leq n-1)$ the subalgebra in $U_q^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by $E_{i,l'},\ F_{i,l'},\ H_{i,m'}, K_i^{\pm}$ $(l'\in\mathbb{Z},\ m'\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\})$ is, in fact, generated by only the elements $E_{i,0}, F_{i,0}, K_i^{\pm}, F_{i,1}$ and $F_{i,-1}$. By the definition of the representation, every generator of $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ preserves the degree in the sense of (5.6). So it is sufficient to show that the actions of $E_{i,0}, F_{i,0}, K_i^{\pm}, F_{i,1}$ and $F_{i,-1}$ satisfy the relations (6.9– 6.14). For the case $E_{i,0}, F_{i,0}, K_i^{\pm}$, by the definition of the actions (4.10–4.12) we can check that that $E_{i,0}, F_{i,0}, K_i^{\pm}$ satisfy the relations (6.9–6.14) directly. Let us show that

$$F_{i,\pm 1}(v \wedge z^m v_n) = (F_{i,\pm 1} \cdot v) \wedge z^m v_n, \tag{6.15}$$

$$F_{n-1,\pm 1}(v \wedge z^m v_n \wedge z^m v_{n-1}) = (F_{n-1,\pm 1} \cdot v) \wedge z^m v_n \wedge z^m v_{n-1}, \tag{6.16}$$

where $v \in V_M^{s+ln,k}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n-2)$ and m is such that $u_{M-(s+nl)} = z^m v_n$.

We will prove (6.16). In the proof we will use the two different notations:

$$u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_{N+2}} \quad \text{or} \quad \Lambda(\mathbf{z^m} \otimes \mathbf{v_e}) \tag{6.17}$$

$$\text{where} \quad k_i = \epsilon_i - Nm_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_{N+2}), \quad \mathbf{e} = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{N+2}) \tag{6.18}$$

for an element from $\wedge^{N+2}V(z) = \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots z_{N+2}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^{N+2}V)/\sum_{i=1}^{N+1}\mathrm{Im}(\overset{c}{T}_i - \overset{s}{T}_i)$.

For any M', M'' $(1 \leq M' \leq M'' \leq N+2)$, we define the $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$–action on the space $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots z_{N+2}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^{N+2}V)$ in terms of the Chevalley generators:

$$\mathbf{e}_i(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w) = \sum_{j=M'}^{M''} P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes E_j^{i,i+1} K_{j+1}^i \ldots K_{M''}^i w, \tag{6.19}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_i(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w) = \sum_{j=M'}^{M''} P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes (K_{M'}^i)^{-1} \ldots (K_{j-1}^i)^{-1} E_j^{i+1,i} w. \tag{6.20}$$

$$\mathbf{k}_i(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w) = P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes (K_{M'}^i K_{M'+1}^i \ldots K_{M''}^i) w. \tag{6.21}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_0(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w) = \sum_{j=M'}^{M''} P(\mathbf{z}) \cdot (q^{-N-1} Y_j^{(N+2)})^{-1} \otimes E_j^{n,1} K_{j+1}^0 \ldots K_{M''}^0 w, \tag{6.22}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_0(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w) = \sum_{j=M'}^{M''} P(\mathbf{z}) \cdot (q^{-N-1} Y_j^{(N+2)}) \otimes (K_{M'}^0)^{-1} \ldots (K_{j-1}^0)^{-1} E_j^{1,n} w. \tag{6.23}$$

$$\mathbf{k}_0(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w) = P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes (K_{M'}^0 K_{M'+1}^0 \ldots K_{M''}^0) w. \tag{6.24}$$

Here $i = 1, \ldots n-1$, $E_j^{l,l'} = 1^{\otimes j-1} \otimes E^{l,l'} \otimes 1^{\otimes N+2-j}$, $K_j^i = q^{E_j^{i,i} - E_j^{i+1,i+1}}$, $K_j^0 = (K_j^1 K_j^2 \cdots K_j^{n-1})^{-1}$, $P(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots z_{N+2}^{\pm 1}]$ and $w \in \otimes^{N+2}V$. This action is well–defined because of the commutativity of $Y_i^{(N+2)}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, N+2)$. The actions of the Drinfel'd generators are determined by the actions of the Chevalley generators.

Let X be an element of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, then we define the action $X^{(*)}$ on the space $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots z_{N+2}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^{N+2}V)$ by (6.19–6.24) and $M' = 1,\ M'' = N$.

We define the action $X^{(**)}$ on the space $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots z_{N+2}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^{N+2}V)$ by (6.19–6.24) and $M' = N+1,\ M'' = N+2$.

We also define the action $X^{\{j\}}$ $(j = 1, \dots, N+2)$ on the space $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots z_{N+2}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^{N+2} V)$ by (6.19–6.24) and $M' = j,\ M'' = j$.

With these definitions, for any two elements X and Y from $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, the operators $X^{(*)}$ and $Y^{(**)}$ commute. This shows that if we have $\Delta X = \sum_\nu Y_\nu \otimes Z_\nu$ then $X(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w) = \sum_\nu Y_\nu^{(*)} Z_\nu^{(**)} (P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w)$.

The following equations are satisfied modulo $\Lambda(UN_+^{(*)} \cdot (UN_-^2)^{(**)}(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w))$. Here UN_+, UN_-^2 are the left ideals generated by $\{E_{i,k'}\}$, $\{F_{i,k'} F_{j,l'}\}$.

$$F_{n-1,1} \Lambda(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w) \equiv \Lambda((K_{n-1}^{(*)} F_{n-1,1}^{(**)} + F_{n-1,1}^{(*)})(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w_)), \tag{6.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n-1,-1} \Lambda(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w) \equiv \Lambda(((K_{n-1}^{(*)})^{-1} F_{n-1,-1}^{(**)} + F_{n-1,-1}^{(*)} \\ + (q^{-1} - q)(K_{n-1}^{(*)})^{-1} H_{n-1,-1}^{(*)} F_{n-1,0}^{(**)})(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w)), \\ P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w \in \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_{N+2}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^{N+2} V). \end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

These equations follow from the the coproduct formulas which have been obtained in [9] Prop. 3.2.A:

$$\Delta(F_{i,1}) \equiv K_i \otimes F_{i,1} + F_{i,1} \otimes 1 \qquad \mathrm{mod}\ UN_+ \otimes UN_-^2, \tag{6.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(F_{i,-1}) \equiv K_i^{-1} \otimes F_{i,-1} + F_{i,-1} \otimes 1 \\ +(q^{-1} - q) K_i^{-1} H_{i,-1} \otimes F_{i,0} \qquad \mathrm{mod}\ UN_+ \otimes UN_-^2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

Now we will show the equality

$$\Lambda(F_{n-1,\pm 1}(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w)) = \Lambda(F_{n-1,\pm 1}^{(*)}(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w)). \tag{6.29}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\mathbf{z}) = z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \cdots z_{N+2}^{m_{N+2}},\ w = v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes \cdots v_{\epsilon_{N+2}},\ \text{and} \\ & m_{N+1} = m_{N+2} = m,\ \ m_i < m\ (i = 1, \dots, N), \\ & |\Lambda(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w))| = k, \quad \epsilon_{N+1} = n,\ \epsilon_{N+2} = n-1. \end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

First let us prove that any element in $UN_+^{(*)} \cdot (UN_-^2)^{(**)}$ annihilates a vector $P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w$ that satisfies (6.30). It is enough to show that

$$(F_{i',k'}^{(**)} F_{j',l'}^{(**)})(z_{N+1}^m z_{N+2}^m \bar{v} \otimes (v_n \otimes v_{n-1})) = 0, \tag{6.31}$$

for $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^N V)$.

This follows immediately from the observation that $wt(v_n) + wt(v_{n-1}) - \alpha_{i'} - \alpha_{j'}$ is not a weight of $\otimes^2 V$.

Next we will show that $\Lambda(F_{n-1,\pm 1}^{(**)}(P(\mathbf{z}) \otimes w)) = 0$, ($P(\mathbf{z})$ and w satisfy (6.30)). By the formulas (6.27) and (6.28), we have the following identities modulo

$\Lambda(UN_+^{\{N+1\}}(UN_-^2)^{\{N+2\}}(P(\mathbf{z})\otimes w))$:

$$\Lambda(F^{\{N+2\}}_{n-1,1}(P(\mathbf{z})\otimes w)) \equiv \Lambda((K^{\{N+1\}}_{n-1}F^{\{N+2\}}_{n-1,1}+F^{\{N+1\}}_{n-1,1})(P(\mathbf{z})\otimes w)), \tag{6.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n-1,-1}\Lambda(P(\mathbf{z})\otimes w) &\equiv \Lambda(((K^{\{N+1\}}_{n-1})^{-1}F^{\{N+2\}}_{n-1,-1}+F^{\{N+1\}}_{n-1,-1} \\ &\qquad +(q^{-1}-q)(K^{\{N+1\}}_{n-1})^{-1}H^{\{N+1\}}_{n-1,-1}F^{\{N+2\}}_{n-1,0})(P(\mathbf{z})\otimes w)), \\ &\equiv \Lambda(((K^{\{N+1\}}_{n-1})^{-1}F^{\{N+2\}}_{n-1,-1}+F^{\{N+1\}}_{n-1,-1} \\ &\qquad +(q^{-1}-q)[E^{\{N+1\}}_{i,0},F^{\{N+1\}}_{i,-1}]F^{\{N+2\}}_{n-1,0})(P(\mathbf{z})\otimes w)), \end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

here we used the relation $[E_{i,0},F_{i,-1}]=K_i^-H_{i,-1}$ which is proved by (2.7). The following formula is essentially written in [9] Prop. 3.2.B:

$$\begin{aligned} &F^{\{l\}}_{i,\pm1}(P'(\mathbf{z})\otimes(\otimes_{j=1}^{N+2}v_{\epsilon_j})) \\ &=P'(\mathbf{z})(q^i(q^{-N-1}Y_l^{(N+2)})^{-1})^{\pm1}\otimes(\otimes_{j=1}^{l-1}v_{\epsilon_j})\otimes\delta_{i,\epsilon_l}v_{i+1}\otimes(\otimes_{j=l+1}^{N+2}v_{\epsilon_j}), \end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

where $P'(\mathbf{z})\in\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm1},\dots,z_{N+2}^{\pm1}]$ and $v_j\in\mathbb{C}^n$.

By the formula (6.34), we have $(UN_+^{\{N+1\}}(UN_-^2)^{\{N+2\}}(P(\mathbf{z})\otimes w))=0$, and by the formula (6.34), Lemma 6 (5.13) and the normal ordering rules, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda(F^{(**)}_{n-1,\pm1}(P(\mathbf{z})\otimes w) \\ &=\Lambda(\alpha_{\pm1}z^m_{N+1}z^m_{N+2}\bar{v}\otimes v_n\otimes v_n)+\tilde{w}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.35}$$

Here $\alpha_{\pm1}$ are certain coefficients, $\bar{v}\in\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm1},\dots,z_N^{\pm1}]\otimes(\otimes^NV)$ and $\tilde{w}$ is a linear combination of normally ordered wedges $w(\mathbf{n},\tau)$, $\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{M}^n_{s+nl+2}$, $\tau\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{n})$ (see subsection 5.1) such that for the sequence $\mathbf{n}=(n_1,n_2,\dots n_{s+nl+2})$ we have

$$n_1,n_2,\dots,n_{s+nl+2}\le m, \text{ and } \quad \#\{n_i|n_i=m\}<2. \tag{6.36}$$

The inequality (6.36) implies, in particular, that $n_{s+nl+1}<m$. By the definition of the space $\wedge^{N+2}V(z)$, we have $\Lambda(z^m_{N+1}z^m_{N+2}\bar{v}\otimes v_n\otimes v_n)=0$.

Assume $\tilde{w}\neq0$. From the inequality $n_{s+nl+1}<m$ it follows that $|\tilde{w}|\ge l+1$ (Cf. Proposition 5(ii) in [11]). On the other hand we have $|\tilde{w}|=|\Lambda(P(\mathbf{z})\otimes w)|=k$. By the condition $k\le l$ this is a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that $\tilde{w}=0$, and hence $\Lambda(F^{(**)}_{n-1,\pm1}(P(\mathbf{z})\otimes w))=0$.

Let us prove $\Lambda((K^{(*)}_{n-1})^{-1}H^{(*)}_{n-1,-1}F^{(**)}_{n-1,0}(P(\mathbf{z})\otimes w))=0$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda((K^{(*)}_{n-1})^{-1}H^{(*)}_{n-1,-1}F^{(**)}_{n-1,0}(z^m_{N+1}z^m_{N+2}\bar{v}\otimes(v_n\otimes v_{n-1}))) \\ &=\Lambda((K^{(*)}_{n-1})^{-1}H^{(*)}_{n-1,-1}(z^m_{N+1}z^m_{N+2}\bar{v}\otimes(v_n\otimes v_n))), \end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

here $\bar{v}\in\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm1},\dots,z_N^{\pm1}]\otimes(\otimes^NV)$. Since $H^{(*)}_{n-1,-1}$ belongs to the algebra generated by the operators $\mathbf{e}_i^{(*)}$, $\mathbf{f}_i^{(*)}$, $(\mathbf{k}_i^{(*)})^\pm$ $(i=0,\dots,n-1)$, $H^{(*)}_{n-1,-1}$ belongs to the algebra generated by the operators $(Y_j^{(N+2)})^{\pm 1}$, $E_j^{l,l'}$ $(1 \leq j \leq N,\ 1 \leq l, l' \leq n)$. By Lemma 6 (5.14) and the normal ordering rules, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda((K_{n-1}^{(*)})^{-1} H_{n-1,-1}^{(*)}(z_{N+1}^m z_{N+2}^m \tilde{v} \otimes (v_n \otimes v_n))) \\ &= \Lambda(z_{N+1}^m z_{N+2}^m \tilde{v} \otimes (v_n \otimes v_n)) + \tilde{w}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

Here $\tilde{v} \in \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_N^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^N V)$ and $\tilde{w}$ is the element which has the property written after the relation (6.35). By the previous discussion we have $\tilde{w} = 0$.

Thus we have shown (6.29). To prove (6.16) we must show that in the right-hand side of the last equation we can replace $q^{1-(N+2)} Y_i^{(N+2)}$ by $q^{1-N} Y_i^{(N)}$ $(1 \leq i \leq N)$. By Lemma 6 (5.14), for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and a sequence $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots m_{N+2}) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $m_1, m_2, \ldots m_N < m_{N+1} = m_{N+2} = m$ we have:

$$\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} (Y_i^{(N+2)})^{\pm 1} = q^{\pm 2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} (Y_i^{(N)})^{\pm 1} + [\ldots], \tag{6.39}$$

where $[\ldots]$ signifies a linear combination of monomials $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}} \equiv z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2} \ldots z_{N+2}^{n_{N+2}}$ such that $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{N+2} \leq m$ and $\#\{n_i | n_i = m\} < 2$. By the normal ordering rules, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda(q^{1-(N+2)} Y_i^{(N+2)}(z_{N+1}^m z_{N+2}^m \bar{v} \otimes (v_n \otimes v_{n-1}))) \\ &= \Lambda(q^{1-N} Y_i^{(N)}(z_{N+1}^m z_{N+2}^m \bar{v} \otimes (v_n \otimes v_{n-1}))) + \tilde{w},\end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

where $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_N^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^N V)$ and the $\tilde{w}$ again has the same meaning as the $\tilde{w}$ in relation (6.35). Repeating the discussion after (6.35) we can show that $\tilde{w} = 0$. Hence we get (6.16).

To prove (6.15), consider the tensor product $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, z_{N+1}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^N V) \otimes V$, use the formulas (6.27), (6.28) and continue the proof in a way that is completely analogous to the proof of (6.16). ■

Now we proceed with the proof of Proposition 12. It is sufficient to show the statement of the proposition for the vector v such that $v \in F_M^k$. We put $v = v_{(N)} \wedge |M - N\rangle$, where $v_{(N)} \in V_M^{N,k}$, $N = s + ln$ as in Lemma 7. By Proposition 8, Lemma 7 (6.9) - (6.11) and the relation (6.5), we can show the following relations ($X = E$, F or $K^{\pm 1}$, $2 \leq i \leq n-1$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&X_{i-1}(q^{-1}\kappa z)\cdot v &(6.41)\\
&=(X_{i-1}(q^{-1}\kappa z)v_{(N)})\wedge u_{M-N}\wedge|M-N-1\rangle\\
&=X_{i-1}(q^{-1}\kappa z)(v_{(N)}\wedge u_{M-N})\wedge|M-N-1\rangle\\
&=(\psi_{N+1}^{-1}X_i(z)\psi_{N+1}(v_{(N)}\wedge u_{M-N}))\wedge|M-N-1\rangle\\
&=\psi_\infty^{-1}X_i(z)(\psi_{N+1}(v_{(N)}\wedge u_{M-N})\wedge|M-N\rangle)\\
&=\psi_\infty^{-1}X_i(z)(\psi_\infty(v_{(N)}\wedge u_{M-N}\wedge|M-N-1\rangle)),
\end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the statement of the Proposition 12 ($i\neq n-1$). For the case $i=n-1$ we use Lemma 7 (6.12) - (6.14) and a straightforward modification of (6.41). ■

In view of Propositions 8 and 12 we can now define a $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-action on the space $\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}}V(z)$. By this definition each subspace F_M is invariant with respect to the $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-action. Thus we arrive at

Theorem 13 (Varagnolo and Vasserot [14])**.** *The q-deformed Fock space F_M is a $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$-module. The actions of $X_i(z)$ ($X=E,F$ or $K^\pm$, $1\le i\le n-1$) are determined in subsection 5.1 by the Chevalley generators. The actions of $X_0(z)$ ($X=E,F$ or $K^\pm$) are determined by $\psi_\infty^{-1}X_1(p^{1/n}z)\psi_\infty$ (in this notation $X_1(p^{1/n}z)$ act on F_{M+1}, but $X_0(z)$ act on F_M).*

Remark One can verify that the action of the subalgebra $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ coincides with the level-1 action of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ defined in Section 5.2, because we have $X_0=\psi_\infty^{-1}X_1\psi_\infty$ ($X=E,F$ or $K^\pm$) (X_i is the Chevalley generator of the level-1 action of $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ defined in Section 5.2). Hence the action of $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ on the Fock space has the level $(0,1)$.

6.2. **Action of $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ on level-1 irreducible $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules.** In the paper [8] it was demonstrated that the Fock space F_M admits an action of the Heisenberg algebra H which commutes with the level-1 action U_1 of the algebra $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. The Heisenberg algebra is a unital $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by elements $1, B_a$ with $a\in\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ which are subject to relations

$$[B_a,B_b]=\delta_{a+b,0}\,a\frac{1-q^{2na}}{1-q^{2a}}. \tag{6.42}$$

The Fock space F_M is an H-module with the action of the generators given by [8]

$$B_a=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}z_i^a. \tag{6.43}$$

Let $\mathbb{C}[H_-]$ be the Fock space of H, i.e., $\mathbb{C}[H_-]=\mathbb{C}[B_{-1},B_{-2},\dots,]$. The element B_{-a} ($a=1,2,\dots$) acts on $\mathbb{C}[H_-]$ by multiplication. The action of B_a ($a=1,2,\dots$)

is given by (6.42) together with the relation

$$B_a \cdot 1 = 0 \qquad \text{for } a \geq 1. \tag{6.44}$$

Let Λ_i $(i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\})$ be the fundamental weights of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n'$. And let $V(\Lambda_i)$ be the irreducible (level-1) highest weight module of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ with highest weight vector $V(\Lambda_i)$ and highest weight Λ_i.

The following results are proven in [8]:

- The action of the Heisenberg algebra on F_M and the action U_1 of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ commute.
- There is an isomorphism

  $$\iota_M : F_M \cong V(\Lambda_i) \otimes \mathbb{C}[H_-] \qquad (M = i \bmod n) \tag{6.45}$$

  of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \otimes H$-modules normalized so that $\iota_M(|M\rangle) = V(\Lambda_i) \otimes 1$.

In general the level-0 $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-action U_0 does not commute with the Heisenberg algebra. However if we choose the parameter p in U_0 in a special way, then the following result holds:

Proposition 14. *At $p = 1$ we have*

$$[U_0, H_-] = 0. \tag{6.46}$$

Proof. Let $w \in F_M^k$. Then by Proposition 10 for any $l \geq k$ there is a unique $w_{(s+nl)} \in V_M^{s+nl,k}$ such that

$$w = w_{(s+nl)} \wedge |M - s - nl\rangle. \tag{6.47}$$

Let $m \geq 1$ and let us act with B_{-m} on the w:

$$B_{-m} w = (B_{-m}^{(s+nl)} w_{(s+nl)}) \wedge |M - s - nl\rangle + w_{(s+nl)} \wedge B_{-m}|M - s - nl\rangle, \tag{6.48}$$

where $B_{-m}^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} z_i^{-m}$ $(N = 1, 2, \ldots)$. In view of (6.47) and (6.43) in the second summand above we may write

$$w_{(s+nl)} = w_{(s+nk)} \wedge u_{M-s-nk} \wedge u_{M-s-nk-1} \wedge \ldots u_{M-s-nl+1}, \tag{6.49}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{-m}|M - s - nl\rangle = {} & u_{M-s-nl+nm} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-1} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-2} \wedge \ldots + \\ & + u_{M-s-nl} \wedge u_{M-s-nl+nm-1} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-2} \wedge \ldots + \\ & + u_{M-s-nl} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-1} \wedge u_{M-s-nl+nm-2} \wedge \ldots + \\ & + \cdots . \end{aligned} \tag{6.50}$$

Now let us choose l to be greater or equal to $k+m$. Then by Lemma 2.2 in [8] the second summand in (6.48) vanishes and we have

$$B_{-m}w = (B_{-m}^{(s+nl)} w_{(s+nl)}) \wedge |M-s-nl\rangle. \tag{6.51}$$

The degree of $B_{-m}w$ is equal to $k+m$. Note that since $m \geq 1$ we have also

$$B_{-m}^{(s+nl)} w_{(s+nl)} \in V_M^{s+nl,k+m}. \tag{6.52}$$

Therefore by definition (5.25) of the action U_0 for any element a of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ we have (note that $l \geq k+m$):

$$aB_{-m}w = \left(a^{(s+nl)} B_{-m}^{(s+nl)} w_{(s+nl)}\right) \wedge |M-s-nl\rangle. \tag{6.53}$$

At $p=1$ the operators $a^{(N)}$ and $B_a^{(N)}$ commute for all finite N and non-zero a since $B_a^{(N)}$ are symmetric in $z_1,\dots,z_N$ and thereby commute with the operators $Y_1^{(N)},\dots,Y_N^{(N)}$.

Thus we have

$$aB_{-m}w = \left(B_{-m}^{(s+nl)} a^{(s+nl)} w_{(s+nl)}\right) \wedge |M-s-nl\rangle. \tag{6.54}$$

Taking into account that degree of aw is equal to the degree of w and is equal to k we may repeat the discussion leading to (6.51) and find that

$$aB_{-m}w = B_{-m}aw. \tag{6.55}$$

■

Remark Note that it is not true that U_0 at $p=1$ commutes with the subalgebra H_+ generated by $1, B_1, B_2, \dots$. This fact is known from consideration of the Yangian limit in [12]. If we attempt to repeat the discussion in the proof of the preceding proposition for B_{-m} with negative m, we find that the inclusion (6.52) fails to hold in general.

Let H_-' be the non-unital subalgebra in H generated by $B_{-1}, B_{-2}, \dots$. Proposition 14 allows us to define a level-0 $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module structure on the irreducible level-1 module $V(\Lambda_i)$ ($i \in \{0,1,\dots,n-1\}$). Indeed from this proposition it follows that the subspace

$$H_-' F_M \subset F_M \tag{6.56}$$

is invariant with respect to the action U_0 at $p=1$ and therefore a level-0 action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is defined on the quotient space

$$F_M/(H_-' F_M) \tag{6.57}$$

which in view of (6.45) is isomorphic to $V(\Lambda_i)$ with $i = M \bmod n$. We do not know whether this level-0 action coincides with the level-0 action defined in the paper [7]. However the Yangian limit considered in [12] suggests an affirmative answer to this question. The results of [12] also lead to the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. *At* $p = q^{2n}$ *we have*

$$[U_0, H_+] = 0. \tag{6.58}$$

According to Lemma 2 the subalgebras U_1 and U_0 generate the action of $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ on the Fock space, hence combining Proposition 14 with the fact that U_1 commutes with the Heisenberg algebra, we find that the toroidal action at $p = 1 (\kappa = q)$ commutes with H_-. Repeating the discussion leading to the equation (6.57) we conclude that

Proposition 15. *The highest weight irreducible* $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ *module* $V(\Lambda_i)$ $(i = 0, \dots, n-1)$ *is a level* $(0,1)$ *toroidal module with* $\kappa = q$. *In this module the action of the subalgebra* $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ *coincides with the level* 1 *action of* $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. *And the action of the subalgebra* $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ *is induced from the level* 0 $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$*-action* U_0 *on the Fock space.*

6.3. **Irreducibility of the Fock space as a toroidal module.** At $q = 1$ both $U_q^{(1)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ are isomorphic to $U'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. Action of these subalgebras on the Fock space F_M is now given by the generators

$$E_i = \mathbf{e}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j^{i,i+1}, \qquad F_i = \mathbf{f}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j^{i+1,i}, \tag{6.59}$$

$$H_i = \mathbf{h}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j^{i,i} - E_j^{i+1,i+1}, \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1) \tag{6.60}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p^{-D_j} E_j^{n,1}, \qquad \mathbf{f}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p^{D_j} E_j^{1,n}, \tag{6.61}$$

$$E_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z_j E_j^{n,1}, \qquad F_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z_j^{-1} E_j^{1,n}, \tag{6.62}$$

$$\mathbf{h}_0 = -\mathbf{h}_1 - \mathbf{h}_2 - \cdots - \mathbf{h}_{n-1}, \tag{6.63}$$

$$H_0 = 1 - H_1 - H_2 - \cdots - H_{n-1}. \tag{6.64}$$

It is straightforward to verify that these generators are well-defined on the Fock space provided we specify normalization of the Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ as

$$H_i|M\rangle = \mathbf{h}_i|M\rangle = \delta(M \equiv i \bmod n)|M\rangle \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1). \tag{6.65}$$

The affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}'_n$ is realized as the central extension of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ by the center $\mathbb{C}c$, so that if $x(m) = x \otimes t^m$ for $x \in \mathfrak{sl}_n$, then a system of generators for $U'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is provided by $E_{i,j}(m)$ $(i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\})$, $H_i(m)$ $(i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z})$ and c, where $E_{i,j}$ are matrix units regarded as generators of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ and $H_i = E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1}$.

In terms of these generators the action of $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is given by

$$E_{i,j}(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k^m E_k^{i,j}, \quad H_i(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k^m (E_k^{i,i} - E_k^{i+1,i+1}), \quad c = 1. \tag{6.66}$$

Proposition 16. *Let $q = 1$ and $|p| \neq 1$. Then the Fock space F_M is an irreducible module of the algebra $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$.*

Proof. Let B_m $(m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ be the generators (6.43) of the H-action on F_M. A computation shows that we have

$$B_m = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i + \frac{p^m}{1-p^m}) H_i(m) + \frac{np^m}{p^{2m}-1} [[E_{1,n}(0), [E_{n,1}(m), \mathbf{f}_0]], \mathbf{e}_0] \tag{6.67}$$

and therefore the Heisenberg action is included into the action of toroidal algebra. This implies that the $U_q^{(2)'}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \otimes H$ action is included into the toroidal action as well. However the former action is irreducible in view of the decomposition (6.45). ■

Corollary 3. *Let $|p| \neq 1$. Then there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that the Fock space F_M is an irreducible module of the algebra $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,tor})$ for all q with $|q - 1| < \epsilon$.*

Acknowledgement We thank Professors Masaki Kashiwara and Tetsuji Miwa for support and discussions.

References

[1] Bernard, D., Pasquier, V. and Serban D.: Spinons in conformal field theory, Nucl. Phys., **B428** 612-628 (1994).

[2] Chari, V. and Pressley, A.: Quantum affine algebras and affine Hecke algebras, Pacific Jour. of Math. **174** 295-326 (1996) (q-alg/9501003).

[3] Cherednik, I.V.: A unification of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov and Dunkl operators via affine Hecke algebras, Inv. Math., **106** 411-432 (1991).

[4] Cherednik, I.V.: Double Affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald's conjectures, Annals Math., **141** 191-216 (1995); Non-symmetric Macdonald's Polynomials, IMRN **10** 483-515 (1995).

[5] Ginzburg, V., Kapranov, M. and Vasserot, E.: Langlands reciprocity for algebraic surfaces, Math. Res. Lett. **2** 147-160 (1995).

[6] Hayashi, T.: Q-analogues of Clifford and Weyl algebras – spinor and oscillator representations of quantum enveloping algebras, Comm. Math. Phys., **127** 129-144 (1990).

[7] Jimbo, M., Kedem, R., Konno, H., Miwa, T. and Petersen, J.-U. H.: Level-0 action of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ on level-1 modules and Macdonald Polynomials, J.Phys, **A28** 5589 (1995) (q-alg/9506016).

[8] Kashiwara, M., Miwa, T. and Stern, E.: Decomposition of q-deformed Fock Spaces, Selecta Mathematica, New Series, **1** No. 4 , 787-805 (1995). (q-alg/9508006)

[9] Koyama, Y.: Staggered polarization of vertex models with $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-symmetry, Comm. Math. Phys., **164** 277-291 (1994).

[10] Saito, Y.: Quantum toroidal algebras and their vertex representations, Preprint RIMS-1112 (q-alg/9611030).

[11] Takemura, K. and Uglov, D.: Level-0 action of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on the q-deformed Fock spaces, Preprint RIMS-1096 (q-alg/9607031).

[12] Uglov, D.: Semi-infinite wedges and the conformal limit of the fermionic spin Calogero-Sutherland model of spin $\frac{1}{2}$, Nucl. Phys. **B478** 401-430 (1996).

[13] Varagnolo, M. and Vasserot, E.: Schur duality in the toroidal setting, Comm. Math. Phys., **182** 469-484 (1996).

[14] Varagnolo, M. and Vasserot, E.: Double-loop algebras and the Fock space, Preprint (q-alg/9612035).

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Japan
E-mail address: `yosihisa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`